

The Structure on Invariant Measures of C^1 generic diffeomorphisms

Wenxiang Sun *

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

E-mail: sunwx@math.pku.edu.cn

Xueting Tian †

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

E-mail: txt@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

Let Λ be an isolated non-trivial transitive set of a C^1 generic diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$. We show that the space of invariant measures supported on Λ coincides with the space of accumulation measures of time averages on one orbit. Moreover, the set of points having this property is residual in Λ (which implies the set of irregular⁺ points is also residual in Λ). As an application, we show that the non-uniform hyperbolicity of irregular⁺ points in Λ with totally 0 measure (resp., the non-uniform hyperbolicity of a generic subset in Λ) determines the uniform hyperbolicity of Λ .

1 Introduction

Let M be a closed C^∞ manifold and let $\text{Diff}(M)$ be the space of diffeomorphisms of M endowed with the C^1 -topology. Denote by d the distance on M induced from a Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle TM . Let $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$.

For a given compact invariant set Λ , let $P(f|_\Lambda)$ be the set of periodic points of f in Λ . Given two periodic points $p, q \in P(f|_\Lambda)$, we say p, q have the barycenter property, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $N = N(\varepsilon, p, q) > 0$ such that for any two integers n_1, n_2 , there exists a point $x \in P(f|_\Lambda)$ such that $d(f^i(x), f^i(p)) < \varepsilon$, $-n_1 \leq i \leq 0$, and

* Sun is supported by National Natural Science Foundation (# 10671006, # 10831003) and National Basic Research Program of China(973 Program)(# 2006CB805903)

† Tian is supported by National Natural Science Foundation(# 10671006).

Key words and phrases: generic property, invariant measure and periodic measure, hyperbolic basic set, topologically transitive, irregular point;

AMS Review: 37A25, 37B20, 37C50, 37D20, 37D30;

$d(f^{i+N}(z), f^i(q)) < \varepsilon$, $0 \leq i \leq n_2$. Λ satisfies the barycenter property if the barycenter property holds for any two periodic points $p, q \in P(f|_\Lambda)$.

Given a compact f -invariant set Λ , Λ is transitive if there is some $x \in \Lambda$ whose forward orbit is dense in Λ . A transitive set Λ is trivial if it consists of a periodic orbit. Λ is isolated if there is some neighborhood U of Λ in M such that $\Lambda = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f^k(U)$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$, $\mathcal{M}_{erg}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p(\Lambda)$ the sets of all f invariant measures, ergodic measures and periodic measures supported on Λ respectively. Clearly $\mathcal{M}_p(\Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{erg}(\Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$. Given a measure μ and $x \in M$, denote by $V_f(\mu)$ and $V_f(x)$ respectively the set of all accumulation points of time average $\mu^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f^j \mu$ and $\mu^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta_{f^j x}$. Note that $V_f(\mu)$ and $V_f(x)$ is a nonempty closed and connected subset of invariant measures.

Now we state the first theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ be an isolated non-trivial transitive set of a C^1 generic diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$. Then the space of invariant measures supported on Λ coincides with the space of the approximation measures along one orbit, i.e., there is $x \in \Lambda$ such that $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)$. Moreover, the set of such points is residual in Λ .*

Let us recall the definition of irregular⁺ point. A point $x \in M$ is called irregular for positive iterations (or shortly irregular⁺) if there is a continuous function $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the sequence $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x))$ is not convergent. Clearly every point x with $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)$ is irregular⁺. By Theorem 1.1, these points are "many" for generic diffeomorphisms. But by Birkhoff ergodic theorem, the set of irregular⁺ points is a totally probability 0 measure set, i.e., for any invariant measure, its measure is zero. So irregular⁺ points is "few" in the probabilistic perspective. It is a very interesting phenomena.

We recall the notions of uniform hyperbolicity and non-uniform hyperbolicity. Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism on a compact manifold M . A compact invariant set Δ of f is called hyperbolic if there is a continuous invariant splitting $T_\Delta M = E \oplus F$ and two constants $C > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$, such that

$$\|Df^n|_{E(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n, \text{ and } \|Df^{-n}|_{F(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \Delta.$$

We say that a point $x \in M$ is a *NUH* point or, simply, *NUH*, if

- (1) there is a Df -invariant splitting $T_{Orb(x)}M = E_{Orb(x)} \oplus F_{Orb(x)}$,
- (2) there exist two constants $\eta > 0$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \|Df^L(f^j(x))|_{E(f^j(x))}\| \leq -\eta$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \|[Df^L(f^j(x))|_{F(f^j(x))}]^{-1}\| \leq -\eta.$$

The following Theorem shows that the non-uniform hyperbolicity of a totally 0 measure set determines the uniform hyperbolicity of the whole space. More precisely, for an isolated non-trivial transitive set Λ of a generic diffeomorphism, the non-uniform hyperbolicity of irregular⁺ points in Λ determines the uniform hyperbolicity of Λ .

Theorem 1.2. *Let Λ be an isolated non-trivial transitive set of a C^1 generic diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and let $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$ be a continuous Df -invariant splitting over Λ . Let $U \subset \Lambda$ be a nonempty open set. If (generic) irregular⁺ points in U satisfy NUH condition with respect to $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$, then Λ is a hyperbolic basic set for f .*

2 Proof of our Theorem 1.1

In this section we suppose the assumptions of following lemmas are all the same as Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *(i) The set of periodic measures supported on Λ is a dense subset of the set $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$ of invariant measures supported on Λ : $\overline{\mathcal{M}_p(\Lambda)} = \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$.*

(ii) Λ satisfies the barycenter property.

Proof The proof of (i) and (ii) are given in [1], see Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.8, respectively. $\square$

An argument by Bonatti and Díaz [2], based on Hayashi Connecting Lemma[4], shows that isolated transitive sets Λ of C^1 generic diffeomorphisms are relative homoclinic classes:

Lemma 2.2. *([2]) There is some periodic point p such that $\Lambda = H(p)$, where $H(p)$ denotes the homoclinic class of p .*

Here we divide into the following two lemmas to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3. *There is $x \in \Lambda$ such that $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)$. Moreover, the set of such points is dense in Λ .*

Proof Since $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$ is closed and connected, there exists a sequence of closed balls B_n in $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$ with radius ε_n (in some metric $\tilde{d}$ compatible with the weak* topology) such that the following holds:

- (a) $B_n \cap B_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$,
- (b) $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} B_n = \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$,
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

By Lemma 2.1 (i), $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_p(\Lambda)}$. We may also assume that the center of B_n is a periodic measure Y_n . The support of Y_n is the orbit of some periodic point $x_n \in \Lambda$ whose period is p_n .

Let $x_0 \in \Lambda$ be given and U_0 the open ball of radius δ around x_0 . By Lemma 2.2, the set of periodic points in Λ is a dense subset. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume x_0 is a periodic point. Since $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) \supseteq V_f(x)$ is trivial, we only need to show that there exists an $x \in U_0$ such that $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) \subseteq V_f(x)$.

Step 1 choose some $x \in U_0$ that we need.

Let $z_0 = x_0$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), Λ satisfies barycenter property. For periodic points $z_0 = x_0$ and x_1 , there exists a positive integer M_1 and a periodic point $z_1 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$d(f^j z_0, f^j z_1) < 2^{-1} \delta \quad \text{for } j = a_0 = b_0 = 0$$

and

$$d(f^j x_1, f^j z_1) < 2^{-1} \delta \quad \text{for } a_1 \leq j \leq b_1,$$

where

$$a_0 = 0, b_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = b_0 + M_1, b_1 = a_1 + 2(b_0 + M_1)p_1.$$

Using barycenter property again, for periodic points z_1 and x_2 , we have a positive integer M_2 and a periodic point $z_2 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$d(f^j z_1, f^j z_2) < 2^{-2} \delta \quad \text{for } 0 = a_0 \leq j \leq b_1$$

and

$$d(f^j x_2, f^j z_2) < 2^{-2} \delta \quad \text{for } a_2 \leq j \leq b_2,$$

where

$$a_2 = b_1 + M_2, b_2 = a_2 + 2^2(b_1 + M_2)p_2.$$

In general we have a positive integer M_n and a periodic point $z_n \in \Lambda$ such that

$$d(f^j z_{n-1}, f^j z_n) < 2^{-n} \delta \quad \text{for } 0 = a_0 \leq j \leq b_{n-1}$$

and

$$d(f^j x_n, f^j z_n) < 2^{-n} \delta \quad \text{for } a_n \leq j \leq b_n,$$

where

$$a_n = b_{n-1} + M_n, b_n = a_n + 2^n(b_{n-1} + M_n)p_n.$$

It is easy to check that for $m > n$,

$$d(f^j x_n, f^j z_m) < 2^{-n+1} \delta \quad \text{for } a_n \leq j \leq b_n.$$

Since $d(z_{n-1}, z_n) < 2^{-n} \delta$, the sequence z_n converges to some point $x \in U_0$, and one has

$$d(f^j x_n, f^j x) < 2^{-n+1} \delta \quad \text{for } a_n \leq j \leq b_n. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark that if A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{\text{card} A} \sum_{j \in A} \xi(f^j y) - \frac{1}{\max A + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\max A} \xi(f^j y) \right| \leq 2(\text{card} A)^{-1}(\max A + 1 - \text{card} A) \|\xi\| \quad (2.2)$$

for any $y \in M$ and $\xi \in C(M)$.

Step 2 $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) \subseteq V_f(x)$.

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$ be given. By (b) and (c) there exists an increasing sequence $n_k \uparrow \rightarrow \infty$ such that $Y_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$. Let $\xi \in C(M)$ be given with $\|\xi\| \leq 1$, and denote by $w_\xi(\varepsilon)$ the oscillation

$$\max\{|\xi(y) - \xi(z)| \mid d(y, z) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Let ν_n denote the measure $\delta(x)^{b_n}$. Thus

$$\int \xi d\nu_n = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j x).$$

Also

$$\int \xi dY_n = \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j x_n).$$

So by (2.1),

$$\left| \int \xi dY_n - \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j x) \right| \leq w_\xi(2^{-n+1}\delta).$$

Since $\|\xi\| \leq 1$, (2.2) implies, with $A = [a_n, b_n] \cap Z$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j x) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j x) \right| \leq \frac{2a_n}{b_n - a_n}.$$

Since $w_\xi(2^{-n+1}\delta) \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{2a_n}{b_n - a_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, this shows that

$$\left| \int \xi d\nu_n - \int \xi dY_n \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\nu_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$ and thus $\nu \in V_f(x)$. □

Lemma 2.4. *The set $\{x \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)\}$ is residual in Λ .*

Proof Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ the set of all Borel probability measures defined on Λ . Take open balls V_i, U_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ such that

- (a) $V_i \subseteq \overline{V_i} \subseteq U_i$;
- (b) $\text{diam}(U_i) \rightarrow 0$;
- (c) $V_i \cap \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) \neq \emptyset$;
- (d) each point of $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$ lies in infinitely many V_i .

Put

$$P(U_i) = \{x \in \Lambda \mid V_f(x) \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set of points with $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)$ is just $\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(U_i)$. Since $V_i \cap \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) \neq \emptyset$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(U_i) &= \{x \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in \Lambda \mid \forall N_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N > N_0 \text{ with } \delta(x)^N \in V_i\} \\ &= \cap_{N_0=1}^{\infty} \cup_{N > N_0} \{x \in \Lambda \mid \delta(x)^N \in V_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $P(U_i)$, for any i ,

$$P(U_i) \supseteq \cap_{N_0=1}^{\infty} \cup_{N > N_0} \{x \in \Lambda \mid \delta(x)^N \in V_i\}.$$

So

$$\{x \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)\} = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(U_i) = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cap_{N_0=1}^{\infty} \cup_{N > N_0} \{x \in \Lambda \mid \delta(x)^N \in V_i\}.$$

Since

$$x \mapsto \delta(x)^N$$

is continuous (for fixed N), the sets of $\cup_{N > N_0} \{x \in \Lambda \mid \delta(x)^N \in V_i\}$ are open. By Lemma 2.3, the sets of

$$\cup_{N > N_0} \{x \in \Lambda \mid \delta(x)^N \in V_i\} \supseteq \{x \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)\}$$

are dense in Λ . Hence $\{x \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)\}$ is residual in Λ . $\square$

3 Proof of our Theorem 1.2

Before proving Theorem 1.2 we need the following lemma[3] by Y.Cao.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a C^1 local diffeomorphism on a compact manifold and let Λ be a compact and f -invariant set. Suppose that there exists a continuous Df -invariant splitting $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$. If the Lyapunov exponents restricted on E and F of every f invariant probability measure are all negative and positive respectively, then Λ is uniformly hyperbolic.*

The following lemma shows that the NUH condition of a point x with $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(x)$ determines the uniform hyperbolicity of Λ , which can deduce Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a C^1 local diffeomorphism on a compact manifold and let Λ be a compact and f -invariant set. Suppose that there exists a continuous Df -invariant splitting $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$. If y is a NUH point in Λ with respect to $T_y M = E_y \oplus F_y$ and $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(y)$, then Λ is uniformly hyperbolic basic set.*

Proof By assumption, take $\eta > 0$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \|Df^L(f^j(x))|_{E(f^j(y))}\| \leq -\eta$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \|[Df^L(f^j(x))|_{F(f^j(y))}]^{-1}\| \leq -\eta.$$

Let

$$\varphi_E(x) = \log \|Df^L|_{E(x)}\|, x \in \Lambda.$$

By the continuity of $T_\Lambda M = E \oplus F$, $\varphi_E(x)$ is continuous on Λ . Since $\mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda) = V_f(y)$, for any given $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(\Lambda)$, there is $n_k \uparrow +\infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \delta_{f^i(y)} \rightarrow \mu$$

in the weak* topology. So

$$\int \varphi_E(x) d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \varphi_E f^i(y) \leq -\eta.$$

By Birkhorff Ergodic Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_E(f^i x)$$

exists on a totally measure set. We claim that there exists a totally measured set Δ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_E(f^i x) \leq -\eta$$

for any $x \in \Delta$. Otherwise, there exists an invariant measure μ and a μ -positive measure set Γ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_E(f^i x) > -\eta,$$

for any $x \in \Gamma$. Since the limit function above is f -invariant, we can assume that Γ is f -invariant. So we can define an invariant measure ν as follows: for every Borel set B ,

$$\nu(B) := \frac{\mu(B \cap \Gamma)}{\mu(\Gamma)}.$$

Then ν is an invariant measure and $\nu(\Gamma) = 1$. So by Birkhorff Ergodic Theorem, we have

$$\int \varphi_E(x) d\nu = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_E(f^i x) d\nu > -\eta,$$

which contradicts $\int \varphi_E(x) d\nu \leq -\eta$.

By Oseledec theorem, the limit

$$\lambda_E(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Df^n|_{E(x)}\|$$

exists on a totally measured set Δ' (i.e., $\lambda_E(x)$ is the maximal Lyapunov exponent of x on subbundle $E(x)$). Since $\lambda_E(x)$ is f -invariant, then by sub-addition of $\log \|Df^n|_{E(x)}\|$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_E(x) &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \lambda_E(f^j x) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \frac{1}{nL} \log \|Df^{nL}|_{E(f^j x)}\| \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \frac{1}{nL} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \|Df^L|_{E(f^{j+iL} x)}\| \\
&= \frac{1}{L} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{nL} \sum_{i=0}^{nL-1} \varphi_E(f^i x) \\
&\leq -\frac{\eta}{L} < 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \Delta \cap \Delta'$. By Lemma 3.1 one gets that E is a contracting subbundle. Similarly we also have that F is an expanding subbundle. $\square$

References.

1. F.Abdenur, C.Bonatti, S.Crovisier, *Nonuniform hyperbolicity of C^1 -generic diffeomorphisms*, preprint, 2007.
2. Ch. Bonatti and L.J. Díaz, Connexions hétéroclines et généricité d'une infinité de puits ou de sources, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 32, 1999, 135-150.
3. Y. Cao, *Non-zero Lyapunov exponents and uniform hyperbolicity*, Nonlinearity 16 2003, 1473-1479.
4. S. Hayashi, Connecting invariant manifolds and the solution of the C^1 stability and Ω -stability conjectures for flows, Ann. of Math., 145, 81-137, (1997) and Ann. of Math. 150, 1999,353-356
5. C. Liang, G. Liu, Conditions for Dominated Splitting, Acta Mathematica, Sinica, 20091389-1398
6. Sigmund, *Generic properties of invariant measures for Axiom-A diffeomorphisms*, Invent. Math., 11, 1970, 99-109.